

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/577,659	DOMAZAKIS, EMMANOUIL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SUSAN CALDWELL	1794

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SUSAN CALDWELL

(3) Keith Hendricks

(2) Cliff Browning

(4) _____

Date of interview: 15 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4 as in preliminary amendment filed 5/1/2006

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant called examiner to point out that the claims 1-4 in the preliminary amendment filed on 5/1/2006 were incorrect and the claims in the PCT filed on 5/1/2006 are the ones to be examined (i.e. claims 1-2). Applicant requested a substitute office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

J.C. SAYALA/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794